Scott. II-37—45,000—9-31-34

Development (1935) Department.

M. SERIES.

G.O. No. 962

DATED 16-7-35.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Fisheries - Fish Curing Yards (private) - Malpe II - Estab
ment - Continuance in charge of peon - Director's
posal - Sanctioned.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Dev. 4538-C
11-12-34

F. 30.

FISHERIES DEPARTMENT.

Received ..... 19 *Registered* 19

Subject.

Fish curing yards - Malpe II - Establishment

ENCLOSURES.
No. .....
.....
Spare copies .....

Ref.No. 3338 F/34-1

Station Madras, *Dated the* 10th December 1934.

From (Name) Dr. B. Sundara Raj, M.A., Ph.D.,
(Designation) Director of Fisheries,

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
DEVELOPMENT Department.

Sir,

Ref: G.O.No. 1046 Miscellaneous Development dated 25th July 1934.

I enclose herewith a copy of petition dated 12th November 1934, from Mr. K.M.Soma, the owner of the private fish curing yard at Malpe. Though the yard was in charge of two peons till now in view of the orders of Government quoted above a petty yard officer has to be appointed in place of the peon in charge. Mr. Soma requests that as his yard is kept open only for six months in a year, it may continue to be in charge of only a peon as before.

In the G.O. referred to above, Government have ordered

the substitution of a petty yard officer in all yards in charge of peons. The staff is not required to collect statistics or make observations or studies on fisheries in the case of this yard as the Malpe I yard is closeby in the same village and does all this work for this part of the Coast. Further the staff in Malpe II deal only with a single curer, the owner. In view of these circumstances, I have the honour to recommend the grant of the concession prayed for by Mr. Soma the owner of Malpe II yard.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

B. Sundara Raj

Director of Fisheries.

K.V.

Copy of petition dated 12th November 1934 from Mr. Soma Merchant, Post: Malpe, South Kanara.

Assistant Director's Telegraphic Order dated 11-10-34.
Viz: State - K.M. Soma - Government have ordered all yards to be manned by Petty yard officers. Unless you agree yard will not be opened - Assistant Director.

With regard to the above order, I have already applied to Assistant Director in my replies dated 8th and 11th October 1934, praying that the work in my private Fish curing yard may kindly be continued with peons in charge as usual. But I have not yet received any order to this effect.

Hence I most respectfully submit to you that the above order to Mann all yards with petty yard officers, may not be applied to my private yard. That order is alright with respect to all Govt. Fish curing yards which work throughout the year; whereas, I work my yard only for about six months in the year and I pray that you may be graciously pleased to order that my yard may be continued with charge of peons only as before. For which act of kindness and justice I shall be very grateful to you.

3 Dm. Dept

Telephonic message.

To

Secretary of Affairs

Ref. your letter No 3838F dated 10.12.34. Affairs Committee yard - Malpur II. Continuance in charge of farm.

With reference to your above-mentioned letter No. 1436-F/30 dated 17.10.30 and Govt. whether the work of Keeper and Defaulter should be confirmed I will not separate the yard from the Continuance in the charge of farm and what safeguard there is to prevent such a contingency

Dy. 20.12.34 Asst. 21.12 RS 3.1.35

Issued 85 3.1.35

Reminded 85 25/1

Reminded 26/3/35

Reminded 85 21/1/35

P. Copy for Telephone

Received 21/1/35

Reminded 85 21/1

Reminded 27/11/35

B 19.12.34

P/Recd 25/3

4

TELEPHONIC MESSAGE.

From D.F.

To A.S. Secy.

Refer. your T.M of 21.1.35. Pertaining Mr Malpe II continuance in charge of Mcon.

your T.M of 3.1.35 was referred to the ASM director (coast) for remarks and his reply was received. But a further reference had to be issued to the ASM Sir (coast) on the subject. The reply will be sent as soon as the ASM director sends his reply.

85
26/1

Above Rem - to D.F. A.S

Submitted for perusal. D.'s reply may await till 10.2.35

S.Q. 28.1.35

RK 29.1.

Dev. 1816-II

30.5.35

FISHERIES **DEPARTMENT.**

..... 19 *Registered* 19

Subject.

Fish curing yards - Malpe II - establishment -

ENCLOSURES.
No.
Spare copies

Ref.No. 3338 F34-6

Station Madras, *Dated the* 29th May 1935

From (Name) Dr. B. Sundara Raj, M.A., Ph.D.,
(Designation) Director of Fisheries,

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
DEVELOPMENT Department.

Sir,

Ref: Telephonic Message dated 4th January 1935 from the Assistant Secretary, Development Department.

The private fish curing yard at Malpe used to be incharg of two peons of the department posted by the Assistant Director of Fisheries (Coast) from his staff. The owner Mr. Soma requests that in view of the extra cost on staff a peon may continue in charge as hitherto. The staff in the yard are held responsible just as those in charge of public yards for the stock of salt etc., in the yard. Malpe II is a private yard as the public are not given tickets and the only curer is Mr. Soma who bears the cost of the establish-
ment

and owns the yard and the buildings.

2. The Assistant Director of Fisheries (Coast) was asked to report whether the present arrangement of posting a peon could not be continued on condition that Mr. Soma the owner of the private yard for whose benefit we are asked to station a peon agrees to hold himself responsible in addition to the staff for any loss of money or salt in his yard. The owner has his own trusted men in the yard in addition to departmental peons and feels that a more expensive establishment is not needed. If in view of his own men safeguarding his interest he is willing to guarantee loss of Government salt or duty there can be no reasonable grounds for refusing the owner's request. A

3. The Assistant Director of Fisheries (Coast) reports that even if an arrangement permitting divided responsibility is devised it is likely to be unworkable as Mr. Soma, the onwer of the yard, though he pays for the staff, cannot be given control over them and as the staff knowning that the owner is also jointly responsible for the stock and cash are likely to become indifferent or even dishonest and throw responsibility on Mr. Soma.

4. As to the possible objection that divided responsibility between Departmental staff and the public may be unworkable such an arrangement has been tried in the case of salt transport contractors and has worked well. Departmental staff used to accompany salt boats from Tuticorin and yet the transport contractor as well as the staff were held responsible for loss. Likewise even now departmental subordinates escort consignments of salt sent by boats and inter-yard transport on the West Coast the transport contractor is held responsible for loss of salt by theft etc., by definite clauses to this effect in the contract. The agreement was drawn up in consultation with law Officers and no difficulty has arisen in working. In the same way the owner of the yard for whose financial benefit, he pays for a minimum of staff, can also be held responsible for any loss of salt or cash in the yard, though the staff will be dealt with departmentally for any loss negligence proved on their part. There is no need for B.

C

for any control of the departmental staff by the owner of the yard as his interest will be watched by his own men in the yard.

5. It is true the risk of theft and defalcations by low-paid peons referred to in the Assistant Secretary's Telephonic Message may continue to exist but to safeguard Government it is suggested that the owner of the private yard in addition to Departmental Staff stationed in the yard be required to hold himself responsible for any loss occuring through dishonesty or carelessness. I request orders of Government in the matter.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

for Director of Fisheries.

29.5.31

8

Dir. Div.

O.m No 45358/Sf 2, 24630

Repn. D.F's letter, Ref No 3338F/34-1, dated 10.12.84. Fish curing yard. Malpe II - Establishment

---

The Dir of Fisheries is requested to state whether the strength of Petty yard officers, sanctioned in G.O. No 1046, Dtd. 27.7.96, provides for the employment of one officer at the fish curing yard, Malpe II and, if so, whether the sanctioned strength may be reduced by one in the event of the Director's proposal to continue the yard in charge of a peon being accepted by the Govt.

D.A. 20.6.35.

To The Dir of Fisheries

Reminder to go on

Dev. 2202-II
5.7.35

C.F. 30.

FISHERIES **DEPARTMENT.**

6 JUL 1935

Received ..... 19 . *Registered* 19

Subject.

Fish curing yards - Malpe II -Establishment

ENCLOSURES.
No. .....
.....
Spare copies .....

Ref. No. 3338 F/34

Station Madras, *Dated the* 3rd July 1935.

From (Name) Dr. B. Sundara Rao, M.A., Ph.D.,

(Designation) Director of Fisheries,

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

DEVELOPMENT Department.

Sir,

Ref: Development Department Memorandum No. 4538 II/34-2 dated 24th June 1935.

Malpe II is a seasonal yard. The Government have granted permission to employ Petty yard officers in charge of seasonal yards wherever they are in charge of peons - vide paragraph 5 of G.O.No. 1046 Miscellaneous Development dated 25th July 1934. The strength of temporary petty yard officers sanctioned for 8 months during the year 1935--36 in G.O.No. Miscellaneous 338 Development dated 4th March 1935, provides for the employment of one Petty yard officer at the fish curing yard, Malpe II. If my proposal to

continue the yard in charge of a peon is accepted by Government, the strength of the petty yard officers sanctioned for 8 months on Rs. 19/- may be reduced by one and a peon on Rs.12/- sanctioned instead.

I have the honour to be

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Director of Fisheries.

k.v. 3/7

Dm. 24/34

Cm. 4538 II/34. Subject. Fisheries. Fish curing yards Malpe II. Establishment

From the Dir of Fisheries
Pl. 1-2 Cir. Agr No 3338 F/34-1 dated 10.12.34.

A.S.

Please see the letter from the Director of Fisheries at pages 1-2 Cir.

2. The opening of the Malpe II (illegible) fish curing yard was sanctioned by Govt of Bombay (Dept. Rev) in 19/12 and an establishment consisting of 1 Draftsman and 1 peon was sanctioned in Cm. No. 81 dated 19.2.1918. This private yard is kept open for only six months in a year and is living contiment Amin. In connection with the re-organisation of the fish curing yard sanctioned in Cir. No. 1046 Dm dated 25.7.34, the Govt approved the Director's proposal to place all yards in charge of peons under petty yard officers. In the circumstances stated by the owner of the yard in his petition at Pl. 2 Cir, the Director recommends that the yard may continue to be in charge of peons, for the reason that the staff is not required other duties or make observations in

D No. 1361/Subject 20.5.12
(illegible) 8618 12.6.26
(P)
D at Pl. 2 Cir
(N)
Pl. 2 Cir

of fisheries with the case of this yard as the Malpe I yard is close by with same village and does all the work for this part of the coast and that the staff in Malpe II deal only with a single owner, the owner.

3. The grounds urged by the Director for replacing peons by petty yard officers were that all the defalcations given in petty thefts and defalcations with yards have been peons and that there was an urgent administrative necessity. Please see Director's reply No 1434 I/30 dated 17.10.30 at pp 16-17 of Go. 2240 Jud dated 19.12.30. The Dir may be asked to state whether the risk of theft and defalcation in the Malpe II (private) yard will not arise by combining the yard in charge of peon and how it will be safeguarded. A draft l. is submitted for approval.

(B)

Bruce
21/35

20.12.30 — 21/12

1 w

[From the Director of Fisheries, Dept No 3338 F/34-6 dated 29.5.35] 1 l, 5-7 Cl F.

To Under Secy

Please see the l. of the Dir of Fisheries at page 3 Cl F. and this reply thereto at pages 5-7 Cl F.

2. The consideration involved in the private fish curing yard, Malpe II, owned by Mr. Lema is how Govt money and Govt Rights will be safeguarded, whether a departmental fishery yard officer and a peon with the necessary to safeguard Govt interests, and whether two departmental peons will be sufficient, in order, mainly, to minimise the expenditure on staff incurred by the owner of the private yard.

It is reported that Mr. Lema has got his own men in the yard to safeguard his interests, and it is suggested that two departmental peons, as mentioned above, may be stationed at the yard and that the owner, in addition to the departmental staff, be required to hold himself responsible for any loss of salt or cash occurring through dishonesty or carelessness

A as p 6 cf.

D as p 7 cf. A

The case for the relaxation of the general principle that all yards in charge of peons should be replaced by fishery yard officers is that this private yard is kept open only for six months in a year, that the owner has to incur expenditure on the employmen

2. Low 6 Dec 7.54 (N)

R as p 1 cf.

of this work men in addition to departmental staff, that the staff in Malpe II deals only with a single crop, the oyster, and that the departmental staff is not required to collect statistics or make observations or studies on fisheries in the case of this yard as the Malpe I yard is closely in the same village and does all this work for this part of the coast

Sanction

Approval

It is for orders whether the suggestion at A on page 3 supra will be agreed to and tried provisionally for two years. If so, the remarks of the Finance Deptt may be invited.

SO
5.6.35.66

Sd/- S.
7/6/35

The remarks of Finance are invited,

Sd/6

Dy no. 4538 II/
8.6.35

Finance

Finance (Exp)

DEPUTY SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
18 JUN 1935
FINANCE DEPT.

According to the policy approved in Govt no: 1046 Dev: dt 25.7.34, fish-curing all yards in charge of peons should be placed under petty yard Mistry. The private fish curing yard at Malpe II owned by Mr: H.M. Soman was in charge of two peons. With reference to the orders quoted above,

(N)

C1.344/35
8/6/35

(N)

a petty Yard Officer should be appointed in place of the person in charge of the Yard. In view of the representation made by the owners at para 2 of, ~~the proposal~~ it is now proposed ~~at A~~ that two departmental pilots may be stationed at the Yard and the owners may in addition to the departmental staff, be required to hold himself responsible for any loss of salt or cask occurring through carelessness or dishonesty of ~~his servants~~.

2. It is not clear whether the sanctioned strength of Petty Yard Officers provides for the employment of one Officer at the Yard in Malta. If so, the number of such Officers should be reduced by one. Subject to the above point being settled, the proposal of I & M may be accepted.

GWS/18.6.35

Sec.

17.6.35

DS

17.6.35

UNDER SECRETARY TO GOVT. 19 JUN 1935 DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

For 366 of 1931.

1863.

6
16

Dun. Dept.

Para 2 of the Finance Dept. note at page 5 supra

is proper raised by the Finance Dept. may be verified. A Draft O.M. by the Div of Fisheries is submitted for approval.

Issue

S.A.
20.6.35.

24/6/35

[Issued vide O.M. No 4558 E/34-2, dt 24.6.35] P.S. C.F.

[From the Div of Fisheries, Rep No 3338 F/34, dt 3.7.35] M/s

To Under Secy

Observations of the Director of Fisheries at Pt 9-10 Cl a. He states that if this proposal to continue the guard in charge of a pen is accepted by the Govt; the strength of the petty guard officers sanctioned for 8 months in Bs 19 vide Govt. No 337, Dun, dt 4.3.35; may be reduced by one and a peon in Bs 12 sanctioned instead. It is submitted that this may be done.

Duplicate C.F.

2. A Draft order is submitted for approval, ~~It may be sent to the Finance Dept for concurrence.~~

Issue accordingly vide P.S. signed Rec'd 11.7

S.A. bm
9.7.35. 11.7

12/7/35 S.

11/7/35

12/1617

17

C.F. 16.

DRAFT FOR APPROVAL.

	Date.	Initials.
When received for fair copying ..	16/7	
When copied ..		
When examined ..		
When signed ..		
When despatched.		

SUBJECT :— Dom. Legn

Fisheries. Fish curing yards (Private) - Malpe II - Re-establishment - Continuance in charge of pram. Director's proposal sanctioned

READ AGAIN Govt letter Dom, dated 25.7.1934

" " " 19

READ *ALSO* :—

No. 4538/34 From the Dir of Fisheries, Dep No. 3338 F/34-1, dated 10.12.1934

" " from do Dep 3358 F/34-1 dated 29.5.1935

" " from do 4538 II/34 dated 24.6.1935

" " from do Dep No. 3358 F/34 dated 3.7.35

Order ~~Resolution~~ Ms. ~~Rout.~~ No. 982, dated 16-7-1935

Govt approve the proposal of the Dir of Fisheries that the private fish curing yard at Malpe (Mal pe II), owned by Mr. K M. Soma, be continued in charge of a peon as hitherto assisted by a petty yard officer, subject to the condition that the owner of the private yard, in addition to the departmental staff stationed at the yard, be required to hold himself responsible for any loss of cash or cash occurring through

dishonesty or carelessness.

The personnel will be tried provisionally for two years. The Director should submit a report to the Board at the end of this period as to how it works.

2. The Board views with the number of petty grant officers on Rs 191-p.m. for 8 months sanctioned in Govt. No. 337, Fin., dt. 4.3.35, be reduced by one and they be sanctioned a post on Rs 12 p.m. as minimum.

AT 13/7

Design Ulw 16.7.35

To

The Dir of Fisheries

Accts. Genl through the Finance Dept

~~Finance Dept~~

Ulw 11.7. Ulw 12/7/35

AD 9.7.35.